

Message 21 (45 lines)

From mgraham Thu Aug 6 09:16 PDT 1987

To: mitra

Subject: apc.coordination

For the unufp's response to the memo go to apc.coordination.

My response to their response follows:

=====

Dear Buddy:

Thank you for your response to the draft memo of understanding. It came through a bit garbled (not your fault just line noise) so I had to fix it up a bit. Hope that I didn't change any of your meanings. I sent you a copy of what I posted to the conference apc.coordination. Your second point is well taken and your recommended new wording is more consistent with what we had in mind. This new wording works for PeaceNet, GreenNet and I am sure that it will work for the musicians.

Danny said that it all looks fine also and says that we can find some -- Hit <RETURN> for more --

Mail? wording to work relative to your first point. Can you suggest some wording?

I am most happy to have gotten to this point and sincerely regret any problems which you feel I may have caused and promise to work consistent with both the letter and spirit of our plans and agreements. You know that this whole venture means a lot to me -- I have worked on it nearly every day for a few years now -- and I want it to work out best for everyone.

It is good to have Mario online and I am anxious to have Scott begin working with him. I have told him about the conference apc.coordination -- where communications of the apc take place -- and he can help you use this (I hope).

Anyway thanks again for the response -- we are that much closer to an agreement and that much closer to a mutually beneficial effort. All my best...

- mark

-- Hit <RETURN> for more --

Mail?

Mail? s

Enter folder name (? for list): ?

You have the following mail folders:

apc	econetlink	kidcom	pn.dev	unup
bhammond	funding	memb-list-sw	porting	x25

Topic 2 Memo of Understanding (DRAFT) Response 3 of 3

mgraham

apc.coordination 4:34 pm Aug 5, 1987

As received by Mark via PeaceNet as sent by Mario of the UNUFP.

This memo has been corrected for errors introduced by line noise..

=====

Draft of memorandum of understanding

Everything is fine except for two points:

1 We agreed that the concerned musicians, would be included as an intregal part and vital to the APC. The draft specifies representatives for the "music for peace program" which I assume refers to them. I think this may cause some confusion as this is officially a UFP program so would suggest that maybe we can call them something else.

2 In point 2 of the principles, you ask for unlimited access to each others' projects, plans, objectives, decisions, decision-makers and

-- Hit <RETURN> for more (57% read) --

Conf?

[Continued] Topic 2 Memo of Understanding (DRAFT) Response 3 of 3

meeting minutes. For obvious reasons this is unacceptable to the UFP, unless it is restricted to the UPGCN and even the meeting minutes are and must be confidentially maintained so as to not create problems by taking things out of context. We therefore